

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,035 07/26/2001		Giovanni Bocola	1011-326	5919	
47888	47888 7590 09/29/2006			EXAMINER	
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.				HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1,2,7, 1016		,		3727	
			•	DATE MAILED: 09/29/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	-
- 1	١
11	1
11	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/890,035	BOCOLA, GIOVANNI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robin A. Hylton	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	lv 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-24</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 22-24 is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• •						
	* : :	* *					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 00 0.0.0. § 119(a)	-(d) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		<del>-</del> '					
Attack manual(a)							
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Thinning Summan	(PTO 412)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 27, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valyi (US 3,163,544) in view of Schellenbach (US 5,4447,674).

Valyi discloses an injection-molded container having an integrally formed container body, hinge located at the rim of the container body, and covering element. Valyi further discloses the covering element has an integrally formed annular gasket. See column 2, lines17-24 regarding the method of manufacture.

Schellenbach teaches it is known to provide a covering element of a first material with an annular gasket of a second material, the second material being softer than the first material (see column 4, lines 63-66 and column 5, line 14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the covering element and annular gasket of Valyi as two separate elements of one hard (plastic) material and one soft (plastic) material, respectively, as taught by Schellenbach, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves

Application/Control Number: 09/890,035 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

only routine skill in the art. Doing so provides a more durable container of a hard plastic and a resilient and reliable seal between the container body and the softer annular gasket.

Wherein Valyi teaches injection molding the container body, hinge located at the rim of the container body and the covering element together, the additional step of injection molding a separate, softer plastic to form an annular gasket is obvious in view of Schellenbach as set forth above.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 22-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 5. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/890,035

Art Unit: 3727

7. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

8.	It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time
has ex	pired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely
asserts	s that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has
expired	d, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Pater	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date	
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
	Signature	
	Date	

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). ). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3727

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH

September 26, 2006

Robin A. Hyllon Primary Examiner

**GAU 3727**